Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/629,682	KOOPS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DANIEL C. MURRAY	2443
All participants (applicant, applicant's represent	ative, PTO personnel):	
(1) DANIEL C. MURRAY.	(3)	
(2) EBENESAR D. THOMAS (62499).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 04 August 2009.		

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

If Yes, brief description: Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims fild was reached. a) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn. The finality of the last Office action was deemed improper and prosecution has been reopened accordingly.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed. APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview mauirements on myerse side or on attached sheet

> /Tonia LM Dollinger/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2443